



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/087,132 07/02/93 GREGORY

R IGI012CN

18M2/0207

MARK A. HOFER, ESQUIRE
GENEZYME CORPORATION, LEGAL DEPARTMENT
NO. 1 MOUNTAIN ROAD
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701

CARLSON, K
EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1814

35

DATE MAILED:

02/07/96

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rochelle Seide, Atty (3) Victor Donahue, Atty

(2) Elizabeth Jasson, Atty (4) Robert Wax, Atty RPE

Date of interview Jan 31, 1996

Karen Cochrane Carlson

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 164-201

Identification of prior art discussed: Gregory et al. 1990 Nature 347:382-386.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. will incorporate essential material

from Gregory et al. for enablement of cryptic promoters at bp 908-936. Agreement was reached regarding scope: 1) DNA encoding
CTR, 2) silent mutations through 908-936, 3) any intron placed downstream of this cryptic promoter, 4) low copy plasmids
or plasmids kept at low copy comprising CTR encoding CTR and 5) host = E. coli. Declaration evidence, preferably
by a non-profitting artisan, will be considered, such evidence possibly increasing the scope further.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

K. Carlson
Examiner's Signature